



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,867	01/02/2001	Edward C. Guerrero JR.	5500-64900	1985
7590	09/22/2004		EXAMINER	
Robert C. Kowert Conley, Rose & Tayon, P.C. P.O. Box 398 Austin, TX 78767-0398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**



<b>Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)</b>	Application No. 09/753,867	Applicant(s) GUERRERO ET AL.
	Examiner Khanh Dang	Art Unit 2111

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 28 June 2004 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH** or **THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1.  The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.  The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.  At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.  The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.  The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.  A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
  - (a)  the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
  - (b)  the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.  The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.  The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.  Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See attached.

*Khanh Dang*

Khanh Dang  
Primary Examiner

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

In the "Grouping of Claims", Appellant states that each of claims 12, 28, and 39 stands or falls together. However, limitations presented in these claims are identical. Further, their respective parent claims stand or fall together (see Appeals Brief, Grouping of Claims).

On a side note to the Applicant, the rejections of dependent claims 5-13, 15, 21-29, 32-39 are withdrawn upon further consideration in view of Applicant's Appeal Brief. Therefore, claims 5-13, 15, 21-29, 32-39 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Khanh Dang at telephone number 703-308-0211.

*Khanh Dang*

Khanh Dang  
Primary Examiner

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**